



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,356	01/12/2006	Shin Yazawa	283520US0PCT	7829
22850	7590	05/14/2008		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			PESELEV, ELLI	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
05/14/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,356	YAZAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elli Peselev	1623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elli Peselev. (3) _____.

(2) David P. Stitzel. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-31, 54 and 56.

Identification of prior art discussed: the Japanese Patent (11-60592) and Edno et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney will consider limiting the positive-charge-providing substance in claim 21 to aliphatic amines of claims 26 and 27 and may be providing additional of evidence of unexpected results using different liposomes. Applicant's arguments and any amendments and/or evidence will be given due consideration when received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Elli Peselev/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.